



Integrated design for demonstration of efficient liquefaction of hydrogen (IDEALHY)

Fuel Cells and Hydrogen Joint Undertaking (FCH JU)

Grant Agreement Number 278177

Title: Industry Audit on Evaluated Process
Authors / Project Partner: Lars Blum, Lutz Decker, Klaus Ohlig /
Linde Kryotechnik AG
Work Package: Component Assessment and Optimisation of
Feasible Large-Scale Liquefaction Process
Deliverable Number: D2.8
Date: 30 October 2013
Report Classification: Restricted (here: *Publishable Summary*)



Approvals	
WP Leader	✓
Coordinator	✓
FCH JU	pending
Contacts	
<p>lutz.decker@linde-kryotechnik.ch</p> <p>info@idealhy.eu</p>	

Acknowledgements

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007–2013) for the Fuel Cells and Hydrogen Joint Technology Initiative, under grant agreement number 278177.

Disclaimer

Despite the care that was taken while preparing this document the following disclaimer applies: The information in this document is provided as is and no guarantee or warranty is given that the information is fit for any particular purpose. The user thereof employs the information at his/her sole risk and liability.

The document reflects only the authors' views. The FCH JU and the European Union are not liable for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.

Publishable summary

In the development and optimisation of an efficient hydrogen liquefaction process in the IDEALHY project, great care has been taken to ensure that the selected large scale process would be capable of being realised using existing or improved components and sub systems.

A detailed technical audit has been carried out by Linde Kryotechnik which shows that the IDEALHY process for a >50 tpd hydrogen liquefier is technically sound and well based on state-of-the-art technology. Although the process contains a number of innovative solutions, the fact that all these “new” systems can be developed out of state-of-the-art reliable technology reduces the resulting risks substantially. None of the discussed risks would prompt a STOP in further process elaboration.

Because of the potentially high investment costs required to build such a large scale plant, some consideration must be given to the level of innovation included in the first plant and the extent of prototyping and pilot scale testing required.

Key words

Industrial audit
Risk
Risk assessment
Risk matrix
Frequency classes
Consequence classes

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	i
Disclaimer	i
Publishable summary	ii
Key words	ii
Table of Contents	iii
1. Introduction	1
1.1 IDEALHY Project Objectives	1
1.1 Work Package scope and objectives	1
1.2 Deliverable objective in relation to the WP	1
2 Process risk assessment	1
2.1 Definitions	1
2.2 Frequency classes	2
2.3 Consequence classes	3
2.4 Risk matrix	4
3 Units	4
3.1 Feed compression	7
3.2 MR compression	8
3.3 Nelium compression	10
3.3.1 LP turbo compression	10
3.3.2 HP compander compression	12
3.3.3 Flash recycle compression	12
3.4 Chillers	13
3.5 80 K coldbox	14
3.5.1 MR precooling	14
3.5.2 Plate fin heat exchangers	16
3.5.3 Ortho-para catalyst beds	17
3.5.4 80 K adsorbers	19
3.5.5 Turbo expanders (companders)	19
3.5.6 Cryogenic control valves	19
3.6 Cryogenic coldbox	21
3.6.1 Plate Fin heat exchangers with ortho-para catalyst filling	21
3.6.2 Turbo expanders	22
3.6.3 Control valves	25
3.7 Product Storage Tank	25
4 Conclusions	27